

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/692,393	10/19/2000	Kulvir Singh Bhogal	AUS9-2000-0434-US1 6087	
35525	7590 05/20/2004		EXAMINER	
DUKE W. Y	EE		EBRAHIMI DEHK	CORDY, SAEID
CARSTENS,	YEE & CAHOON, L.L.P.			
P.O. BOX 802	2334		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, T	X 75380	2626		
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004	<u> </u>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		L Application No.	Annicontain			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/692,393	BHOGAL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Saeid Ebrahimi-dehKordy	2626			
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	nis communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS  - Extensions of time may be available undorafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the period for reply specified above is left NO period for reply is specified above, Failure to reply within the set or extended.	COMMUNICATION.  er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 ate of this communication. ess than thirty (30) days, a repl the maximum statutory period period for reply will, by statute three months after the mailin	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONI g date of this communication, even if timely file	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on	·				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is i	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance wit	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowing is/are allowing claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejective claim(s) is/are obtain(s) are subjective claim(s)	is/are withdra owed. oted. jected to.	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is object	ted to by the Examine	er.				
10) $oxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on $\underline{1}$	<i>5 January 2001</i> is/are	∷ a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	d to by the Examiner.			
		drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	, ,			
		tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob xaminer. Note the attached Office				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c)  1. Certified copies of  2. Certified copies of  3. Copies of the certi  application from th	None of: the priority document the priority document fied copies of the prio e International Burea	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(and the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(and the priority documents have been received under the priority documents and th	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-89:</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.</li> </ol>	ring Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/692,393

Art Unit: 2626

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Motoyama et al (U.S. patent 6,714,971)

Regarding claim 1,8 and 15 Motoyama et al disclose: A method for limiting the size of print jobs in a computer network comprising: setting a predetermined quota for the number of pages a network user may print within a specified time period (please note column 14 lines 26-34) receiving a print job request from a network user (please note column 14 lines 34-38) determining if the print job exceeds the predetermined print quota (please note column 14 lines 43-54 also note column 24 lines 37-57) preventing the print job from printing if it exceeds the predetermined print quota (please note column 24 lines 58-64) and allowing the print job to print if it does not exceed the predetermined print quota (please note column 14 lines 48-56).

Regarding claim 2,9 and 16 Motoyama et al disclose: The method according to claim 1, further comprising logging the amount of the user's print quota that is used (please note column 14 lines 44-53)

Application/Control Number: 09/692,393

Art Unit: 2626

Regarding claim 3,10 and 17 Motoyama et al disclose: The method according to claim 1, wherein the step of setting a predetermined print quota further comprises setting separate print quotas for different lengths of time (please note column 23 lines 45-56).

Regarding claim 4,11 and 18 Motoyama et al disclose: The method according to claim 1, wherein the step of setting a predetermined print quota further comprises setting a single uniform quota for all network users (column 14 lines 34-58).

Regarding claim 5,12 and 19 Motoyama et al disclose: The method according to claim 1, wherein the step of setting a predetermined print quota further comprises setting individual print quotas for each network user (please note column 24 lines 38-58).

Regarding claim 6,13 and 20 Motoyama et al disclose: The method according to claim 1, wherein a network user may request special permission to exceed the print quota (please note column 14 lines 56-57).

Regarding claim 7,14 and 21 Motoyama et al disclose: The method according to claim 6, wherein the process of granting permission to exceed the print quota is automated (please note column 14 lines 50-55).

## **Contact Information**

➤ Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Saeid Ebrahimi-Dehkordy* whose telephone number is (703) 306-3487.

Application/Control Number: 09/692,393

Art Unit: 2626

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams, can be reached at (703) 305-4863.

### Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

#### Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, or (703) 308-9052 (for *formal* communications; please mark

"EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")

Or:

(703) 306-5406 (for *informal* or *draft* communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

**Hand delivered responses** should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750.

Saeid Ebrahimi-Dehkordy Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2626 May 14/2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER